

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

NEVA WILLIAMS, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Civ. No. 23-1059 GBW/KRS

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY, *et al.*,


Defendants.

**ORDER FOR PLAINTIFFS TO REFILE EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF THEIR
RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT (DOC. 94)**

THIS MATTER comes before the Court *sua sponte*. Plaintiffs filed their exhibits in support of their Response to Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (*doc. 94*) on January 17, 2025. *See doc. 95*. Upon review of Plaintiffs' exhibits, it appears that Plaintiffs unintentionally¹ redacted portions of their exhibits they meant to highlight for the Court's attention. *See docs. 95-1, -4, -9, -13*. The Court is unable to read the portions of the exhibits that are unintentionally redacted.

¹ The Court assumes that the redactions are unintentional because Plaintiffs cite to redacted portions of their exhibits in their Response, and the redactions are in a highlighter yellow color.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiffs must refile their exhibits in support of their Response to Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (*doc. 94*) by **February 3, 2025**.



GREGORY B. WORMUTH
CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
Presiding by Consent